Single Bench

(5)

CF 100/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) NO. / 2006

Petitioner : Chandan Singh
S/o Late Hardayal Singh
Aged about 24 years, R/o Birejhar, Post – Dharba, Tehsil Kurud – Dist : Dhamtari-(C.G.)

P.R. No. 4655/06
Presented by Shri Deepak Jain
dated 24/8/06

Versus

Respondents :

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through - The Secretary General Administration Department, D.K.S Bhawan Raipur, Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

2. The Jail Superintendent
Central Jail, Ambikapur Sarguja, Dist : Sarguja-(C.G.)

3. The Jail Superintendent
For Chief Director & Inspector General of Police (Jail), Raipur-(C.G.)

4. The Superintendent
Central Jail Raipur,
Dist : Raipur-(C.G.),

5. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through the Secretary General Administrative Department, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Dist : Bhopal-(M.P.)

6. Union of India,
Through the Chief Secretary Department of Personal & Training & Public Grievances, Shastri Marg, New Delhi

RECEIVED-COPY
24/08/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

S.R. Kaushik
TAHSIL

(6)

~~(2)~~

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(37)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 4632/06 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	30.8.2006 Shri Deepak Jain, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri Vinay Pandey, P.L. for respondent No.1 to 5 on advance copy. Shri S.K. Beriwal, Central Govt. Standing Counsel for respondent No.6. Heard. The petitioner's case is that his father Late Hardayal Singh was working as Jail Guard (Prahari), Up-Jail, Jahspurnagar, Jashpur and died in harness on 24.2.1997. The petitioner after attaining the age of majority applied for compassionate appointment on 9.9.2000, but the same has been rejected vide order dated 8.12.2005 (Annexure P-5). Perusal of order dated 8.12.2005 (Annexure P-8) shows that the application of the petitioner has been rejected on the ground that the legal heirs of the employees who died on or after 1.11.2000 are being considered for compassionate appointment, as per Government policy. Now the said date has been revised and the Government has issued orders that the employees who died on or after 1.11.1997, their legal heirs are entitled for consideration of compassionate appointment. In the present case, father of the petitioner died on 24.2.1997 i.e. prior to 1.11.1997, therefore, the case of the petitioner is not covered under the Government policy regarding consideration for compassionate appointment. As such, there is no merit in the petition, same is liable to be dismissed and accordingly, it is dismissed. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3575/06 also stand dismissed. **Sd/-** **L.C.Bhadoo** **Judge**	